

No. 36.

PROCEEDINGS OF SPECIAL MEETING OF THE CITY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL, BANGALORE,
HELD ON SATURDAY THE 9TH MAY 1925, AT 8. A.M. IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBER.

Present number ... 21. | Absent number ... 9.

(N.B.—The Council continues to sit in Committee.)

Further discussion of the letter from the Secretary to Government, Local and Legislative Departments, regarding irregularities in the accounts of the City Municipality was continued.

When the allegation made in the audit report against Mr. R. Raghunatha Rao, the then Head Accountant and the present Revenue Manager, were being discussed, it was pointed out by some of the Councillors that as the Explanations of some of the Divisional Accountants were not taken, it would be better that the consideration of the question be postponed till after they were obtained, as the Divisional Accountant threw the blame on the Head Accountant and the Head Accountant on the Divisional Accountants.

Messrs. C. Hayavadana Rao and Belur Sreenivasaiengar spoke in favour of disposing of the allegations against Mr. R. Raghunatha Rao, immediately and independently of the explanations to be obtained from the Divisional Accountants.

Mr. G. Paramasiviah then formally proposed that the consideration of the allegations against Mr. R. Raghunatha Rao be put off till the explanations of the Divisional Accountants were obtained and placed before the Council. This was seconded by Mr. D. Venkataramiah. The proposition was put to vote and lost, as only 4 voted 'For' and 12 'Against' it. The question was then discussed, and was put to vote. Twelve Councillors were of opinion that Mr. R. Raghunatha Rao, the then Head Accountant, was not guilty of negligence.

As regards the Divisional Accountants against whom there are allegations of negligence and dereliction of duty, it was resolved that the matter might be left to the Municipal Commissioner for disposal.

The Council then resumed its sittings as Council and Mr. C. Hayavadana Rao proposed that the decisions of the Council in Committee as regards the allegations against Messrs. A. Narasinga Rao and R. Raghunatha Rao be confirmed. This was seconded by Mr. Belur Sreenivasaiengar.

Voting:—13 'For' and 1 'Against'.

The Council resolved further that the disposal of allegations against the Divisional Accountants be left to the Municipal Commissioner.

No. 37.

PROCEEDINGS OF AN ORDINARY GENERAL MEETING OF THE CITY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL,
BANGALORE HELD ON SATURDAY THE 9TH MAY 1925, AT ABOUT 10-30 A.M. IN THE
COUNCIL CHAMBER.

Present number ... 21. | Absent number ... 9.

In connection with the irregularities noticed in the accounts of the City Municipality, which were discussed at the successive meetings of the Council, Mr. C. Hayavadana Rao proposed and Mr. G. Paramasiviah, seconded that the question as to how to improve the system under which collections are remitted to the Municipal Treasury and other allied matters be referred to the Managing Committee and the Municipal Commissioner.

Remaining subjects on the agenda adjourned to Saturday the 16th May 1925 at 5 P.M.

MOHAMED ABBAS KHAN,
Honorary President.

BANGALORE DISTRICT.

Notification dated May 1925.

The right of collecting fees on *santhes* in the Nelamangala Taluk from the date of sanctioning the sale to 30th June 1926, will be sold by the President, Nelamangala Taluk Board, by public auction in the respective places and on respective dates noted against them at 1 P.M.

2. The successful purchaser shall collect fees only at the rates noted in the annexed schedule and at no higher rates, and only on the articles that will be brought on *santhe* days within the market permises.

3. The President, Taluk Board, reserves to himself the power of refusing the highest or any bid that may be offered without assigning any reason therefor.

4. The contract amount is payable in twelve monthly instalments due on or before the 7th of every month in advance. The contractor shall pay as soon as the sale is over a deposit equal to two months' instalments, for the due performance of the contract. The amount in deposit will if the conditions of the contract are complied with, be credited towards the instalments due for the last two months of the contract, failing which, the deposit will be forfeited.

5. If the deposit is not made immediately after the close of the sale, the contract will be resold at the risk of the first purchaser. If default is made in the payment of instalments on due dates, i.e., 7th of every month, interest at 1 pie per rupee per month will be charged on the amount due after the 7th of every month till the date of payment and the unexpired portion of the contract will also be liable to be sold again at the discretion of the President, Taluk Board, and the loss arising from the resale will be recovered from the former contractor, who shall not be entitled to the profits, if any.

6. The contractor is also bound to keep a detail account showing collections and it shall be open to inspection by the officers of the Taluk and District Boards.

7. The contractor of the market will also be given the right of collecting and utilizing the market sweepings and it will be his duty to keep the premises clean, failing which, a fine of Re. 1 will be levied for each day per *diem* of default, and should the default continue for more than six days, the contract is liable to be cancelled and resold at his risk.

8. The contractor shall exhibit at the entrance to the market place on market days a notice board setting forth the rates at which fees are levied.

9. No claim for remission on account of the prevalence of plague, cholera and other epidemics, or any other cause will be entertained.

10. The market is liable to be closed temporarily for the purpose of preventing the spread of epidemics, either among men or cattle, if such a course is considered necessary by the President, Taluk Board. No claim for remission consequent on such prohibition can however be entertained.

11. A person bringing things for his own shop shall not be charged separate fees, one for the load and the other for exposing the things in the shop, but will be liable for only the higher fee.

12. The contractor shall be responsible for the cleanliness of the premises.

13. The shop-keeper and the contractor are bound to obey the orders of the Taluk Board authorities with regard to the allocation of shops.

14. Should the contractor or his servants charge or attempt to charge any fees other than those stated in the schedule below, or should his servants fail to fulfil any of the obligations entailing on him by any of the preceding paragraphs, his contract will be liable to be cancelled, his deposit forfeited and the lease resold at his risk.

Schedule.

	Rs.	a.	p.
Per shop of the 1st class	0	4	0
Do 2nd class	0	2	0
Do 3rd class	0	1	0
Per cart laden	0	1	0
Per cart unladen	0	0	6
Per bullock, buffalo, or horse laden	0	0	6
Per head load	0	0	2
Bullock, buffalo, etc., each	0	0	6
Sheep and goats each	0	0	3
Per stall	0	1	0

(For classification of shops see the Kanarese copy of the Notification.)

A person having a shop in more than one place, must pay a separate fee for each shop. The space for each shop should not ordinarily exceed 5'x 6' except in the case of sweetmeat shops, for which a space not exceeding 10 square feet will be allowed. Shops occupying more than the prescribed area will be subject to higher fees.

	Place	Date
1. Sondekoppa	Santhe tope	20th June 1925.
2. Gollahalli	Do	26th June 1925.
3. Jackanahalli	Do	23rd June 1925.
4. Dobspet	Do	19th June 1925.

P. KR. SHNA RAO,

President, Taluk Board, Nelamangala.